



Shri Inderjit Singh,
C/o Chamber No. 141-A,
New Court, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Addl. Deputy Director,
Rural Dev. & Panchayat Department, PB.,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Director,
Rural Dev. & Panchayat Department, PB.,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2575 of 2021

Present:- Shri Inderjit Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Addl. Deputy Director, Rural Dev. & Panchayat Department, PB., Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Inderjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Addl. Deputy Director, Rural Dev. & Panchayat Department, PB., Mohali. A reply was sent to the appellant vide letter no.566 dated 11.02.2021. Not satisfied with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.02.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the respondent. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 12.01.2021 and also heard the appellant. He has sought the opinion of the SPIO on some points, which does not come within the ambit of definition of 'information' as envisage U/s 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Section 2(f) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, which reveals as under :-

“(f) “information” means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force”.

The information can only be sought as per the definition of 'information', but in the present case the RTI application filed by the appellant does not come within the ambit of

definition of 'information' because he has sought opinions of the SPIO on some aspects, but he has not sought any information, which is in the custody of the public authority.

I have gone through the RTI application and discussed with the appellant. The appellant is saying that he is seeking opinion of the SPIO, which comes within the definition of 'information'. He is giving stress on the point that in the definition of 'information' there is a word 'opinion', so the information seeker has right to seek 'opinion' from the SPIO.

The view of the appellant is not correct as the word 'opinion' use in the definition of 'information' is for those opinions which the public authority has got from some legal authority or any other higher authority and then that 'opinion' becomes a part of the record of the public authority and the information seeker has the right to ask for the copy of that opinion, which is held in the custody of public authority. But in the present case the appellant has not sought the copy of any opinion which is already in the custody of the Public Authority, rather he is seeking the opinion of the State Public Information Officer on the queries put to him by the appellant.

In the view of the above facts the RTI application filed by the appellant is hereby **dismissed and closed.**

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Smt. Sunita Kaushal, W/o Shri Anil Kaushal,
B-34/3178, Street No. 7,
New Tagore Nagar, Haibowal Kalan,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2579 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Sunita Kaushal, appellant is absent.
Shri Davinder Singh, SPIO-cum-Dy. Controller (F&A) O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali is present.

ORDER

Smt. Sunita Kaushal, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 22.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent SPIO is present and he stated that the appellant sought information through point no. 1 to 3 of the RTI application related with Shri Anil Kaushal, (husband of the appellant). He further stated that the information regarding point no. 1 of the RTI application has been provided to the appellant, but regarding point nos. 2 and 3 of the RTI application the appellant sought information related with the GPF Fund, Gratuity and other funds. As the information relates with the third party, so the department sought consent from Shri Anil Kaushal and Shri Anil Kaushal vide letter dated 28.04.2021 stated that the said information should not be provided to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 18.08.2021, diarized vide no.18344, which is a copy of letter dated 13.08.2021 along with copy of letter dated 28.04.2021 and copy of letter dated 24.05.2021. I have gone through the said letters and the SPIO has submitted that Shri Anil Kaushal has not given his consent for disclosing his information regarding his provident fund, gratuity and other funds, so the information cannot be provided to the appellant and reply regarding this has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.05.2021.

The information sought by the appellant is personal information, which cannot be provided to the appellant and has rightly been denied by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Gurbax Rai Charaya,
8, Basant Kunj,
P.O. Ladhewali, Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Jalandhar -I.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ SDM,
Jalandhar -I.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2599 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gurbax Rai Charaya, appellant is present.
Ms. Manmeet Gupta, Reader to Naib Tehsildar, Jalandhar-1 is present on
behalf of the SPIO.

O R D E R

Shri Gurbax Rai Charaya, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.12.2019 before the SDM, Jalandhar-1. The appellant has received letter no.6 dated 16.01.2020 sent by the SPIO-cum-Tehsildar, Jalandhar-1. Not satisfied with the reply, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.01.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the reply has already been sent to the appellant that the information cannot be provided to the appellant as it is in questionnaire form.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.11.2019. The appellant sought some queries from the SPIO, which does not come within the ambit of definition of 'information' under Section 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005. Section 2(f) is reproduced as under:-

“(f) “information” means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force”.

So, if we go through the definition of information any appellant can ask for information which is in existence of the office of the public authority, whereas in the present case the appellant has sought only the queries and sought reply to the queries, which do not come within the ambit of definition of 'information' as envisaged U/s 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Gurbax Rai Charaya,
8, Basant Kunj,
P.O. Ladhewali, Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Jalandhar -I.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ SDM,
Jalandhar -I.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2600 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gurbax Rai Charaya, appellant is present.
Ms. Manmeet Gupta, Reader to Naib Tehsildar, Jalandhar-1 is present on behalf of the SPIO.

O R D E R

Shri Gurbax Rai Charaya, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.03.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO-cum-Tehsildar, Jalandhar-1. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.42 dated 27.05.2020. But not satisfied with the reply, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.07.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the reply has already been sent to the appellant that the information cannot be provided to the appellant as it is in questionnaire form.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.03.2020. The appellant sought some queries from the SPIO, which does not come within the ambit of definition of 'information' under Section 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005. Section 2(f) is reproduced as under:-

“(f) “information” means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force”.

So, if we go through the definition of information any appellant can ask for information which is in existence of the office of the public authority, whereas in the present case the appellant has sought only the queries and sought reply to the queries, which do not come within the ambit of definition of 'information' as envisaged U/s 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Des Raj, S/o Shri Krishan Lal,
Guru Ravidass Nagar, Ward No.15/20,
H.No. 187, Opposite Sri Guru Ravidass Mandir,
Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2613 of 2021

Present:- Shri Des Raj, appellant is absent.
Shri Munish Kathuria, Excise Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

ORDER

Shri Des Raj, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.10.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today whereas the respondent is present and he stated that all the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 27 dated 27.08.2020 and again provided by hand to the daughter of the appellant vide letter no. 110 dated 10.08.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 110 dated 10.08.2021 along with copy of letter no 27 dated 27.08.2020.

I have gone through letter no. 27 dated 27.08.2020 and the SPIO has provided the para-wise reply/information to the appellant.

The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided para-wise reply/information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Bittu, S/o Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, Jhabewal,
P.O. Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Division No.6, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 623 of 2021

Present:- Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.6,
Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.6, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 24.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant whereas none has come on behalf of the respondent.

The representative of the complainant-applicant stated that Shri Bittu complainant-applicant is not well, so she has come present on his behalf. She further stated that the information in the present case has been received.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant. I have gone through the said e-mail and the applicant submitted that he has received the requisite information.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant and the applicant has also received the information as per his e-mail, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chaddha, (M: 98142-28629)
30, Banda Bahadur Nagar,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Joint Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1642 of 2020

Present:- Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chaddha, appellant is absent.
Sh. Dinesh Joshi, APIO-cum-Building Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO,
O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chaddha, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.12.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the complete information/reply, specifically point-wise, has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. MTP/3913/RTI dated 16.09.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the APIO, which is a copy of letter no. MTP/3913/RTI dated 16.09.2021 along with copies of information. I have gone through the said letter vide which the SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant.

A show cause notice was issued to the SPIO vide Order dated 17.02.2021 and the SPIO has sent reply to the show cause notice vide letter no. MTP/2484 dated 05.05.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the show cause notice sent by the SPIO and it is submitted by him that the reply in the present case has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 3724 dated 05.05.2021. He further requested to drop the show cause notice.

As per letter no.3724 dated 05.05.2021 sent by the SPIO that the reply has already been provided to the appellant vide letter no. 3724 dated 05.05.2021, so the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. Hence the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has sent the complete information/reply, specifically point-wise, to the appellant vide letter no. MTP/3913/RTI dated 16.09.2021, so the appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chaddha, (M: 98142-28629)
30, Banda Bahadur Nagar,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Joint Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1647 of 2020

Present:- Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chaddha, appellant is absent.
Sh. Dinesh Joshi APIO-cum-Building Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO,
O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chaddha, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.01.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the complete information/reply, specifically point-wise, has already been sent to the appellant vide letter no. MTP/2484 dated 05.05.2021, but as the appellant stated that he has not received the information, so again the information has been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the APIO, which is a copy of letter no. MTP/1903 dated 25.05.2017 along with copy of letter no.MTP/2484 dated 05.05.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant.

A show cause notice was issued to the SPIO vide Order dated 17.02.2021 and the SPIO has sent reply to the show cause notice vide letter no. MTP/2485 dated 05.05.2021.

I have gone through the reply to the show cause notice sent by the SPIO and it is submitted by him that due to frequent changes of the Building Inspectors in the concerned area and COVID-19, the reply of the said RTI application could not be given to the applicant and now the para-wise information/reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.MTP/2484 dated 05.05.2021. He further requested to drop the show cause notice.

As per reply sent by the SPIO it is clear that he is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant. Hence the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has sent the complete information/reply, specifically point-wise, to the appellant vide letter no. MTP/2484 dated 05.05.202, so the appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Amrik Singh, (M: 98768-00860),
Block J/26/100, BRS Nagar,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 443 of 2020

Present:- Shri Amrik Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Dr. Manu, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Amrik Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 22.05.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present.

The respondent stated that the information has already been sent to the applicant vide letter no.165 dated 29.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1673 dated 14.09.2020.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.1124 dated 26.08.2021 along with a copy of letter no.1251 dated 21.09.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1124 dated 26.08.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information was sent to the applicant vide letter no.165 dated 29.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1673 dated 14.09.2020.

I have also gone through letter no.1251 dated 21.09.2021 vide which the SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice. It is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information was sent to the applicant vide letter no.165 dated 29.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1673 dated 14.09.2020. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the relevant record was also inspected by the applicant on 22.07.2021. The SPIO requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

After going through the reply to the Show Cause Notice, it shows that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the applicant. Hence the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant vide letter no.165 dated 29.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1673 dated 14.09.2020. So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Amrik Singh, (M: 98768-00860),
Block J/26/100, BRS Nagar,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 445 of 2020

Present:- Shri Amrik Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Dr. Manu, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Amrik Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 22.05.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present.

The respondent stated that the information sought by the applicant was related with the Distt. Accounts Officer, NHM, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana, who has sent the information to the applicant through speed post vide letter no.1457 dated 25.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1691 dated 14.09.2020.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.1126 dated 26.08.2021 along with a copy of letter no.1251 dated 21.09.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1126 dated 26.08.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information was sent to the applicant vide letter no.1457 dated 25.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1691 dated 14.09.2020.

I have also gone through letter no.1251 dated 21.09.2021 vide which the SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice. It is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information was sent to the applicant vide letter no.1457 dated 25.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1691 dated 14.09.2020. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the relevant record was also inspected by the applicant on 22.07.2021. The SPIO requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

After going through the reply to the Show Cause Notice, it shows that the SPIO is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the applicant. Hence the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the applicant vide letter no.1457 dated 25.06.2020 and again sent through speed post vide letter no.1691 dated 14.09.2020. So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Baljit Singh Yadav, S/o Shri Ishar Singh,
H.No. 18-AB, Backside Lal Palace,
Kothe Sherjang Road, Jagraon,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Gram Panchayat, Dholan,
Block Sudhar, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1957 of 2021

Present:- Adv. Dr. Sumati Jund is present on behalf of Shri Baljit Singh Yadav, appellant. Shri Gurmeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary Gram Panchayat, Dholan, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana is present along with Shri Jagjit Singh, GRS, Block Sudhar.

O R D E R

Shri Baljit Singh Yadav, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.01.2021 before the SPIO-cum-BDPO, Sudhar. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to Shri Jagjit Singh, PIO-cum-GRS, Gram Panchayat, Dholan, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana vide letter dated 03.02.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Dr. Sumati Jund is present on behalf of the appellant through CISCO Webex Meetings Software, whereas Shri Gurmeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Dholan, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana along with Shri Jagjit Singh, GRS, Block Sudhar is personally present at the Commission.

The counsel of the appellant stated that incomplete information has been provided to the appellant and regarding this the deficiencies has already been submitted to the respondent.

On the other hand the respondents stated that earlier the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 04.05.2021, but as the appellant stated that the information was incomplete, then the remaining information was provided by hand to the appellant, Shri Baljit Singh Yadav on 16.08.2021. They further stated that the information which was available as per the record of the Gram Panchayat, Dholan has been provided to the appellant and except that no other information is pending. They further submitted an application dated 21.09.2021 by submitting the same facts. The said application is taken in the file of the Commission.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the appellant as per the record of the Gram Panchayat, Dholan and except that no other information is pending, so the SPIO is unable to provide the same to the appellant. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 21.09.2021

Shri Baljit Singh Yadav, S/o Shri Ishar Singh,
H.No. 18-AB, Backside Lal Palace,
Kothe Sherjang Road, Jagraon,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Gram Panchayat, Dholan,
Block Sudhar, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1958 of 2021

Present:- Adv. Dr. Sumati Jund is present on behalf of Shri Baljit Singh Yadav, appellant. Shri Gurmeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary Gram Panchayat, Dholan, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana is present along with Shri Jagjit Singh, GRS, Block Sudhar.

O R D E R

Shri Baljit Singh Yadav, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.01.2021 before the SPIO-cum-BDPO, Sudhar. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to Shri Gurmit Singh, PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Dholan, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana vide letter dated 03.02.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Dr. Sumati Jund is present on behalf of the appellant through CISCO Webex Meetings Software, whereas Shri Gurmeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Dholan, Block Sudhar, Ludhiana along with Shri Jagjit Singh, GRS, Block Sudhar is personally present at the Commission.

The counsel of the appellant stated that incomplete information has been provided to the appellant and regarding this the deficiencies has already been submitted to the respondent.

On the other hand the respondents stated that earlier the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 04.05.2021, but as the appellant stated that the information was incomplete, then the remaining information was provided by hand to the appellant, Shri Baljit Singh Yadav on 16.08.2021. They further stated that the information which was available as per the record of the Gram Panchayat, Dholan has been provided to the appellant and except that no other information is pending. They further submitted an application dated 21.09.2021 by submitting the same facts. The said application is taken in the file of the Commission.

As the SPIO has provided the information to the appellant as per the record of the Gram Panchayat, Dholan and except that no other information is pending, so the SPIO is unable to provide the same to the appellant. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 21.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Kuldip Singh,
Sohiyan Road, Rajgarh Colony,
Ward No.7, Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
School Education, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
School Education, Punjab, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1861 of 2021

Present:- Shri Kuldip Singh, appellant is present.
Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Sr. Assistant is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Principal Secretary, School Education, Punjab, Chandigarh.

O R D E R

Shri Kuldip Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal Secretary, School Education, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 25.08.2021 the information was sent to the appellant on 02.09.2021.

The appellant stated that he has made two complaints and the information regarding only one complaint comprising of 62 pages has been provided to him by the SPIO, but no information regarding the second complaint has been provided.

On the other hand Sh. Jaswinder Singh Sr. Asstt. stated that the information regarding only one complaint is available with the office of the public authority, which has been provided to the appellant and the information regarding the other complaint which the appellant is asking for is not available with office of the public authority, so the SPIO is unable to provide it to the appellant.

The SPIO is directed that whenever the information regarding the second complaint will be received in his office then within a week the attested copies of said information be also sent to the appellant through registered post. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Tejinder Pal Singh Obroi, (M: 090151-52976),
S. Vice President, Welfare Association G Block Hari Nagar (Regd.),
WZ-208, Street 15, G-Block Hari Nagar,
Jail Road, New Delhi-110058.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary/President,
The Madanpur Cooperative Housing Construction Society Ltd.,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Addl. Registrar (Admn.),
Cooperative Societies, Punjab, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1878 of 2020

Present:- Shri Tejinder Pal Singh Obroi, appellant is present.
Inspector Lovejeet Singh, O/o Co-operative Societies, Mohali is personally present.

O R D E R

Shri Tejinder Pal Singh Obroi, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.10.2019 and sought some information from the office of the SPIO.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO till date.

The respondent stated that Shri Harpal Singh Gulati, the authorized representative of the appellant has visited the office on 26.07.2021 and inspected the concerned files and after inspection he stated that the sought for information is not available in the official record.

The respondent further submitted two set of self attested affidavit dated 21.09.2021 of Shri Jasvinder Singh, APIO-cum-Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Mohali, which are taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit dated 21.09.2021 and it is submitted by the APIO that Shri Tejinder Pal Singh Obroi was called on 26.07.2021 to inspect the record of the Society relating to the Madanpur Co-operative Housing Building Society Ltd., Mohali and he authorized Shri Harpal Singh to visit the office of the Asstt. Registrar, Co-operative Societies Mohali and the complete related record was inspected by him and after inspection he stated that the information required is not in the office record. The APIO further submitted in the affidavit that the complete record of the Society has already been presented to the applicant and no other document/information is available in the office of the deponent as requested by the appellant.

Appeal Case No. 1878 of 2020

I have gone through the order dated 28.07.2021 and the SPIO was directed to send the attested copies of information to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and in case the information is not available in the office of the SPIO, then the SPIO should submit a duly attested affidavit in two sets that this information is not available in the office of the public authority and send the same to the Commission and to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

The respondent has complied with the order of the Commission and submitted self attested affidavit dated 21.09.2021 by submitting that the concerned record was inspected by the authorized person of the appellant and no other document/information is available in the office of the deponent as requested by the appellant. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab**

(Regd.) CC: Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Punjab, Chandigarh.

- For information.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Jasveer Singh, S/o Shri Joginder Singh,
R/o Village Megha Panj Grain Hithar,
Tehsil Gurusahai,
Distt. Ferozpur.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Gurusahai,
Distt. Ferozpur.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO, Ferozpur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 111 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasveer Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Chanda Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o
BDPO, Gurusahai, Distt. Ferozpur.

O R D E R

Shri Jasveer Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Gurusahai, Distt. Ferozpur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the appellant is seeking the same information again and again which has already been provided to him in complaint case no. 671 of 2019, Appeal Case no. 09 of 2020, Appeal Case no. 574 of 2020, Appeal Case no. 1111 of 2020 and Appeal case no. 573 of 2020 and all these cases has been disposed of by the worthy State Information Commissioners.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Chanda Singh, Panchayat Secretary, which is a copy of letter along with copy of order dated 28.02.2020 passed in complaint case no. 671 of 2019, copy of order dated 04.06.2020 passed in Appeal case no. 09 of 2020, copy of order dated 31.07.2020 passed in appeal case no. 574 of 2020, order dated 07.07.2020 passed in appeal case no. 573 of 2020 and copy of order dated 12.08.2021 passed in appeal case no. 1111 of 2020.

I have gone through the mail sent by the respondent and also gone through the orders passed in the above mentioned cases.

The appellant is seeking the same information which has already been provided to him by the respondent in the above mentioned cases. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Jaswinder Kumar,
S/o Shri Ram Saroop,
R/o Village Rohar Jagir,
P.O. Devinagar, Tehsil Dudhansadha,
Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block Bhunarheri,
Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 102 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jaswinder Kumar, appellant is present.
Sh. Charanjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of Shri
Sukhwinder Singh Tiwana, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Block Bhunarheri, Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Jaswinder Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Bhunarheri, Distt. Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that he has visited the office of the SPIO on 17.09.2021, but the remaining information was not provided to him by the respondent.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the remaining information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 20.09.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Charanjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary in which he has submitted that the attested copies of remaining information regarding point no.6 has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 20.09.2021. He has also annexed a photocopy of postal receipt dated 20.09.2021 vide which the information was sent to the appellant.

As the respondent has sent the remaining information to the appellant through registered post on 20.09.2021 and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Nirmal Singh,
S/o Shri Diwan Singh,
VPO Jhandeana Sharki,
Distt. Moga.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o BDPO,
Block-1, Moga.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Moga.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2553 of 2021

Present:- Shri Nirmal Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Tej Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
BDPO, Block-1, Moga.

O R D E R

Shri Nirmal Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block-1, Moga.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 15.09.2021. He further stated that in compliance to the Order dated 16.09.2021, the appellant has not visited the office of the SPIO on 17.09.2021 and when he was contacted on the phone, then he stated that he has received the information sent by the registered post.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by Shri Tej Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary, which is a copy of letter dated 21.09.2021 along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 15.09.2021. I have gone through the said letter and the respondent has submitted the above mentioned facts in the letter.

The respondent has sent the sought for information to the appellant. Moreover the appellant has not visited the office of the SPIO on 17.09.2021, which means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the respondent through registered post on 15.09.2021. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 21.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**